

SWAT 70: Multi criterion decision analysis (MCDA) to improve decision quality in an online Delphi study

Objective of this SWAT

To examine the effects of using multi-criteria decision analysis (MCDA) as a support tool for an online Delphi study.

Study area: Decision-Making

Sample type: Participants

Estimated funding level needed: Medium

Background

This SWAT will examine how decision-making support tools affect decision quality in an online three round Delphi study. It will use the Annalisa implementation of multi-criteria decision analysis (MCDA) software, [1,2] which customizes and personalizes the user data visually, allowing the aggregated Delphi outcomes to be shown alongside the input of the individual participant. The display shows criterion weightings, option performance ratings and (weighted) option scores separately. The system is dynamic and can change with additional information being fed into the software.

The first implementation of this SWAT will test MCDA support in an online Delphi about the declarations and methods that are useful to include when preparing the protocol for a participatory online trial. Participants will be randomized to either Delphi plus MCDA or Delphi only. Those in the MCDA group will use the software during the online Delphi in round three. Following the feedback and analysis of round three from both groups, the project's Advisory Board will meet to come to consensus on the feedback. The membership of the Advisory Board will reflect the characteristics of the Delphi population, but they will not be Delphi participants.

Interventions and comparators

Intervention 1: Delphi feedback only

Intervention 2: Delphi feedback plus MCDA

Index Type: Method for decision-making

Method for allocating to intervention or comparator

Randomization 1:1

Outcome measures

Primary: Decision quality score on My Decision Quality (MDQ)[3] with special interest in heterogeneity in both intervention groups, measured following consensus.

Secondary: Time to participant submission of feedback for the final Delphi round.

Analysis plans

Data will be analyzed quantitatively using the MDQ[3] and qualitatively using feedback comments.

Possible problems in implementing this SWAT

Technical or usability challenges may impact on the ability of participants to engage with the online Delphi.

References

1. Dowie J, Kjer Kaltoft M, Salkeld G, et al. Towards generic online multicriteria decision support in patient-centred health care. *Health Expectations* 2015;18:689-702.
2. Kaltoft MK, Dowie J, Turner R, et al. Decisional equipoise is not decisional conflict: avoiding the false clarity bias in the evaluation of decision aids and Shared Decision Making processes. *F1000Research* 2015;4-942.
3. Kaltoft M, Cunich M, Salkeld G, et al. Assessing decision quality in patient-centred care requires a preference-sensitive measure. *Journal of Health Services Research and Policy* 2014;19:110–7.

Publications or presentations of this SWAT design

Examples of the implementation of this SWAT

People to show as the source of this idea: Amy Price, Mette Kjer Kaltoft and Jack Dowie

Contact email address: dr.amyprice@gmail.com

Date of idea: 1/JAN/2018

Revisions made by:

Date of revisions: